Coloma-Watervliet Small Urban Meeting

Tuesday, December 9th, 2025, at 1:30 PM

Southwest Michigan Planning Commission 376 W. Main Street, Suite 130, Benton Harbor, MI 49022

Agenda

- 1. Call to Order and Introductions
- 2. Overview of the Small Urban Program
- 3. Review of past Coloma-Watervliet Small Urban Projects
- 4. Discussion and Approval of Projects for 2027 & 2029
- 5. Privilege of the floor or public comment
- 6. Adjournment

Comments can be sent prior to the meeting to Brandon Kovnat at kovnatb@swmpc.org or by calling (269) 925-1137 x 1524. For questions about accessibility or to request accommodations, please contact Kim Gallagher at (269) 925-1137 x 1518 or by email at gallagherk@swmpc.org

Voting Members

City of Coloma
City of Watervliet
Berrien County Road Department
Berrien Bus

Meeting Minutes

Coloma-Watervliet-Hartford Small Urban Area Thursday, April 13 2023 at 10 AM Hartford Public Library

Attendance

	Name	Representing
Voting	Barry Antilla	Van Buren County Road Commission
Committee	Laurie Schlipp	Van Buren County Public Transit
	Kevin Stack	Berrien County Road Department
	Dennis Schuh	Berrien Bus
	Rod Burkholder	City of Coloma
Staff	Kim Gallagher	SWMPC
	Brandon Kovnat	SWMPC
Others	Dave Clift	Van Buren County Road Commission
Present	Todd Bohle	City of Coloma
	Steve Carlisle	Wightman & Associates, on behalf of Coloma

1. Call to Order & Introductions

Kim Gallagher called the meeting to order at 10 AM and led the roll call.

2. Overview of the Small Urban Program & Meeting Purpose

Gallagher explained that MDOT allocates, in alternating years, \$375,000 in federal Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) funding to every census-designated urban area in Michigan with a population between 5,000 and 49,000 funding. The purpose of this meeting is to program the \$375,000 in federal funding for FY 2023.

Stack added that the FY 2023 funds are unprogrammed due to the cancelation of the Road Department's Red Arrow project. The project was completed using only local funding, no federal funds were used.

3. Approval of the Minutes from the January 12, 2022, Small Urban Meeting

Motion to approve the minutes from the January 12, 2022, Colom-Hartford-Watervliet Small Urban Meeting. Made by Barry Anttila and seconded by Laurie Schlipp. **Motion approved**.

4. <u>Discussion and Vote on Funding Transit Projects with the FY 2023 Small Urban Funds</u>

SWMPC staff discovered that the FY 2023 funding was available in March. However, there is not enough time to get a road project approved before the fiscal constraint runs out. Only transit projects could be approved in time to use the funds.

Dennis Schuh presented the following requests for Berrien Bus:

• Ford Transit Vans: The previous state contract for Ford Transit Vans expired, resulting in an increased cost of \$17,000 to \$20,000 per van. Berrien Bus requested four vans in a previous grant but can now only afford three due to the higher costs. Schuh requested \$56,000 in Small Urban funds to cover the remaining costs for the fourth van.

• **Communication Upgrade:** Berrien Bus is switching to 800 MHz communication, requiring new radios, antennas, and other transmission equipment. Schuh trusted \$104,000 in Small urban funds for the communication equipment.

Laurie Schlipp presented the following request for Van Buren County Transit

• **Bus Funding:** Schlipp explained that CMAQ funds were programmed for a bus replacement. The CMAQ funding for Van Buren County has been reduced, while the cost of a medium-duty bus has risen by approximately \$73,000. She highlighted that about 50% of Van Buren County Transit's buses have either met or exceeded their useful life. Schlipp said she could use as much funding as possible to replace buses.

Schlipp and Schuh agreed to split the small urban funding as follows:

Agency	Project	Federal	CTF Match	Local	Total
Dorrigo Duc	Radio Equipment	\$104,000	\$26,000	\$0	\$130,000
Berrien Bus	Transit Van	\$56,000	\$14,000	\$0	\$70,000
Van Buran	Replace one medium-duty bus.	\$133,200	\$33,300	\$6,900	\$173,400
Van Buren Public Transit	Replace one medium-duty bus Partially funded by CMAQ	\$81,800	\$20,450	\$0	\$102,250
Total		\$375,000			

Motion to award the FY 2023 Coloma-Watervliet-Hartford Small Urban federal funding to the projects as shown in the table above. Made by Dennis Schuh and seconded by Laurie Schlipp. **Motion approved**.

5. Privilege of the Floor or Public Comment

There was a brief discussion about the 2020 urban area boundaries and how these may affect Small urban funding.

6. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 10:35 AM.

Minutes taken by Brandon Kovnat, SWMPC Transportation Planner

Coloma Watervliet Small Urban Funded Projects 2019-2025

Year	Agency	Proejct	Federal	Local or CTF	Total
2019	Berrien County Road Department	Red Arrow Highway from Boyer Rd to Coloma City Limits - Resurfacing	\$335,000	\$102,500	\$437,500
2019	Berrien Bus	Vehicle Replacement	\$40,000	\$10,000	\$50,000
2021	City of Hartford	Prospect St from Marion Ave to N. Center St - Reconstruction	\$280,000	\$260,700	\$540,700
2021	Van Buren CRC	Red Arrow Highway from 70th St to Hartford City Limits - Mill & HMA overlay	\$47,500	\$73,000	\$120,500
2021	Van Buren Public Transit	Bus Replacement	\$47,500	CTF: \$22,000 Local: \$40,500	\$110,000
2023	Van Buren Public Transit	Replace one bus (partial funding - remaining funds came from CMAQ)	\$81,800	\$20,450	\$102,250
2023	Van Buren Public Transit	Replace one bus	\$133,200	\$33,300	\$166,500
2023	Berrien Bus	Radio Equipment	\$104,000	\$26,000	\$130,000
2023	Berrien Bus	Transit Van Purchase	\$56,000	\$14,000	\$70,000
2025	City of Coloma	West St. from W St. Joseph to W Center St - Crush & Shape Reconstruction	\$385,000	\$100,000	\$485,000

Approved illustrative List

Priority	Agency	Project Name	Federal Cost	Match	Total Cost
1	Van Buren County Road Commission*	Red Arrow Highway from Hartford Village limits to 59.5 St – Mill and HMA Overlay	\$385,000	\$365,000	\$750,000
2	City of Watervliet	Riverside Dr and Paw Paw Ave from M-140 to Peachtree Place - Reconstruction	\$375,000	\$115,796	\$572,000
3	City of Hartford*	W. Main St from West City Limits to Center St – Mill and HMA Overlay	\$372,000	\$93,000	\$465,000
4	City of Hartford*	Marion Avenue W. Main St to Prospect St – Work TBD	\$375,000	\$200,000	\$575,000

^{*} These projects are no longer eligible for Small Urban funding since are not within the 2020 Coloma-Watervliet ABUB

Summary of Requested Projects to utilize the Coloma-Watervliet Small Urban Funding

Agency	Project	Length (mi)	Federal	Local or CTF	Total Participating
City of Watervliet	W Parsons St from M-140 to Western Ave Crush and Shape	0.9	\$420,000	\$84,000	\$504,000
City of Watervliet	E St. Joseph Ave from Sutherland Ave to Watervliet East City limits – Mill & Asphalt Overlay	0.4	\$120,000	\$30,000	\$150,000
Berrien CRD	Coloma North Rd from Coloma Twp limits south to Coloma City Limits – Mill & Asphalt Overlay	1.43	\$240,000	\$60,000	\$300,000
Berrien CRD	Paw Paw Ave from Watervliet Twp line to Watervliet City Limits – Mill & Asphalt Overlay	0.89	\$160,000	\$40,000	\$200,000
Berrien Bus	Maintenance Equipment - Rolling Jack for Lift, air powered floor jack, air conditioner service machine	NA	\$18,400	\$4,600	\$23,000
Berrien Bus	Facility Improvements - 7 natural gas furnaces	NA	\$33,600	\$8,400	\$42,000

If you require assistance accessing this information or require it in an alternative format, contact the Michigan Department of Transportation's (MDOT) Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) coordinator at www.Michigan.gov/MDOT-ADA.

Michigan Department of Transportation 2606 (11/2025)

MDOT SMALL URBAN PROGRAM ROAD PROJECT APPLICATION

Page 1 of 2

ALL FIELDS MUST BE COMPLETED

JOB REQUEST							
		Job	Number	Change			_
New Job or Job C	hange			F`	Y	Cost	Scope
				D	elete	M	love Illustrative
REQUESTING AGENCY INFOR	RMATIO	N					
Agency Name			Contact Person		Telep	hone Nu	mber
			01: (7)				
Street Address			City/Zip Code		E-Ma	il Addres	S
DDO IFCT INFORMATION							
PROJECT INFORMATION Small Urban Area	Sma	II Urb	an Area Priority	Year of	f Impro	vement	Length (Miles)
					•		
Road Name			Work Type Code				
Project Limits/Location							
Description							
Description							
Is The Road Segment/Project F	ederal-A	Aid El	igible?	Yes		No	
Is Sidewalk Work to Be Include	d in the I	Partic	ipating Costs?	Yes		No	
Is Right-Of-Way/Land Acquisition	on Reau	ired?		Yes		No	
If Yes, Please Explain:	- 1						
Does The Road Have Truck Re	estriction	s?		Yes		No	
If Yes, Please Explain:							
COST INFORMATION							
Include participating construction studies, design, testing, preliming	•		•	•			
include water, sewer, utilities, e		IIICCII	ing, or construction en	girieeririg <i>).</i>	. ΙΝΟΙΙ-μ	articipati	ng costs can
STP-Urban Requested (Feder	al Partic	ipatin	g)				
Local Match (Local Participatin	ng)						
Non-Participating Costs							
Other (Describe:)			
PARTICIPATING CONSTRUC	CTION C	APITA	AL TOTAL				

Advance Construct (AC)	Year:	Amount:	
Advance Construct Conversion (ACC)	Year:	Amount:	
Local Financial Assurance - this indicates listed here.	the local government	ent has sufficient funds the l	ocal match amounts
OTHER COMMENTS			_
SUBMITTED BY	TITLE	D	ATE

If you require assistance accessing this information or require it in an alternative format, contact the Michigan Department of Transportation's (MDOT) Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) coordinator at www.Michigan.gov/MDOT-ADA.

Michigan Department of Transportation 2606 (11/2025)

MDOT SMALL URBAN PROGRAM ROAD PROJECT APPLICATION

Page 1 of 2

ALL FIELDS MUST BE COMPLETED

JOB REQUEST							
		Job	Number	Change			_
New Job or Job C	hange			F`	Y	Cost	Scope
				D	elete	M	love Illustrative
REQUESTING AGENCY INFOR	RMATIO	N					
Agency Name			Contact Person		Telep	hone Nu	mber
			01: (7)				
Street Address			City/Zip Code		E-Ma	il Addres	S
DDO IFCT INFORMATION							
PROJECT INFORMATION Small Urban Area	Sma	II Urb	an Area Priority	Year of	f Impro	vement	Length (Miles)
					•		
Road Name			Work Type Code				
Project Limits/Location							
Description							
Description							
Is The Road Segment/Project F	ederal-A	Aid El	igible?	Yes		No	
Is Sidewalk Work to Be Include	d in the I	Partic	ipating Costs?	Yes		No	
Is Right-Of-Way/Land Acquisition	on Reau	ired?		Yes		No	
If Yes, Please Explain:	- 1						
Does The Road Have Truck Re	estriction	s?		Yes		No	
If Yes, Please Explain:							
COST INFORMATION							
Include participating construction studies, design, testing, preliming	•		•	•			
include water, sewer, utilities, e		IIICCII	ing, or construction en	girieeririg <i>).</i>	. ΙΝΟΙΙ-μ	articipati	ng costs can
STP-Urban Requested (Feder	al Partic	ipatin	g)				
Local Match (Local Participatin	ng)						
Non-Participating Costs							
Other (Describe:)			
PARTICIPATING CONSTRUC	CTION C	APITA	AL TOTAL				

Advance Construct (AC)	Year:	Amount:	
Advance Construct Conversion (ACC)	Year:	Amount:	
Local Financial Assurance - this indicates listed here.	the local government	ent has sufficient funds the l	ocal match amounts
OTHER COMMENTS			_
SUBMITTED BY	TITLE	D	ATE

Niles-Buchanan-Cass Area Transportation Study 2026-2029 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Federal Surface Transportation Block Grant Project Application

If you need assistance, please contact Brandon Kovnat, SWMPC Transportation Planner Email kovnatb@swmpc.org or call (269) 925-1137 x 1524

Applicant Information	
Agency Name:	
Contact Name:	Title:
Email Address:	Phone Number:
Engineer/Consultant:	Company:
Email Address:	Phone Number:
Project Description	
Project Name/Road Name:	
Project Limits (From/To):	
Project Length (to the nearest hundredth of a mile): miles	
City, Village, or Township:	
Additional location description if needed	
Major Work Type:	Preferred Year of Funding:
Detailed Work Description (Include all work items as part of this replacement, guardrail, tree clearing, grading, culvert replacement	•
Describe any non-participating work if applicable	
What is the need and purpose for this project (what issues are be	eing addressed by the proposed work)
If you are submitting multiple applications, please rank your appl	lications by priority. Rank: of

P	ro	p	os	e	d	В	u	d	g	e	l
---	----	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

	Amount	Percent of Total
Total Participating Construction Estimate	\$	100 %
STBG Requested	\$	%
Local Match	\$	%
	\$	%
	\$	%

Are the other funding sources secured? Yes \square No \square If no, provide details of	n when these funds will be secured
Non-Participating Cost Estimate:	\$
Total Project Estimate with Non-Participating:	\$
Are you willing to contribute additional local match above the minimum 18.159	6 required: Yes \square No \square
Are you willing to use an Advance Construct (AC):	Yes □ No □
If so, what is the maximum Amount:	\$
Estimated Project Schedule	
Activity	Date (Month/Year)
NEPA/SHPPO Submitted	
Right-of-Way Certification Submitted	
Grade Inspection (GI) Completed	
Full Biddable Package Submitted to MDOT	
Project Letting	
Construction Start	
Project Completion	
System Preservation	
What is the most recent PASER rating (https://www.mcgi.state.mi.us/tamcMap	<u></u>
Do the project limits begin or end at a road with a PASER of 7 or higher:	Yes □ No □
Which MDOT guidelines will the project use:	
What is the expected increase in Remaining Service Life (RSL):	Years
What is the current state of drainage on the road:	

Regional Significance							
What is the average annual daily traffic (AADT) volume for the limits of this project?	Vehicles/day					
What is the National Functional Classification	(NFC) of the road:						
Safaty							
Safety							
For the questions below use the five-year total	als from 2019-2023 (https://www.michigantraf	ficcrashfacts.org/)					
All Crashes	Pedestrian and Bicycle Crash	<u>nes</u>					
Total number of crashes:	Total number of crashes:						
Number of fatalities:	Number of fatalities:						
Number of Serious Injuries:	Number of Serious Injuries:						
List the safety countermeasures included in th	ne project						
Use the attached list of countermeasures and	l associated crash types	In					
Counter Measure	Crash Type Addressed	Does this address a fatal or serious injury crash					
Improved pavement markings	Angle, Rear-End Crashes	Yes □ No ⊠					
		Yes □ No □					
		Yes □ No □					
		Yes □ No □					
		Yes □ No □					
		Yes □ No □					
		Yes □ No □					
Complete Streets							
complete streets							
Are there existing pedestrian and/or bicycle fa	acilities within the limits of the project? If so, p	lease explain					
Describe any improvements to pedestrian and	d/or bicycle facilities included with the project						
Will the new/improved pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities connect to existing pedestrian/bicycle facility $\gamma_{es} \square N_0 \square$ or one that is planned to be completed before 2029: Y/N							
or one that is plained to be completed before	. 2023. 1714						
Does your agency have a policy for maintainin bike lanes and pedestrian pathways/sidewalk	g non-motorized transportation infrastructure s?	e, such as Yes □ No □					

Accessibility and Equity				
Is the project located in a Disadvantaged Community (DAC), as identified by the Climate and Environmental Justice Screening Tool (https://screeningtool.geoplatform.gov/):			Yes □	No □
Does this project remove a priority ADA barrier, as identified in an adopted ADA Transition Plasimilar plan?	ın or		Yes 🗆	No □
Strategic Planning & Investment				
The project crosses jurisdictional boundaries.		,	Yes □	No 🗆
The project will coordinate with other infrastructure projects (i.e. utility, water, sewer, etc.)		,	Yes □	No 🗆
The Project is identified in a pavement asset management plan		,	Yes □	No 🗆
There is an asset management plan covering utilities along the length of the project			Yes □	No 🗆
The city/village/Township has adopted an asset management policy			Yes □	No 🗆
The project supports goals or objectives from another planning document (ex. master plan or	rec pla	n)	Yes □	No 🗆
If the project supports goals or objectives in another planning document please identify the pgoals or objectives, and describe how this project will help achieve them	lan, spe	ecify the	e releva	nt
Risk Assessment				
Does right of way need to be acquired?	Yes \square	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Does the project intersect with a railroad crossing?	Yes \square	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Does the project require utility relocation?	Yes □	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Are the project limits within a defined FEMA floodplain?	Yes □	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Will there be trees removed within the project limits?	Yes \square	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Is the project within 100 feet of a cemetery?	Yes □	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Are there historic elements withing 100 feet of the proposed work*	Yes □	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Describe approximately how many individual mature trees or acres of trees will be re-	noved	if appl	icable	

^{*} Historic elements include any of the following if they are 50 years old or older: **objects** (ex. Statues or monuments), **structures** (ex. bridges, stone curbs, or brick streets), intentional/designed landscapes, **buildings**, **Historic districts**, **intentional/designed landscapes**

Existing and Proposed Roadway Design

	Existing					Proposed			
Number of	Through Center Tur		rn On Street Parking		Through	Through Center Tur		n On Street Parking	
lanes	Lanes:	Lane (Y/N)	:	(Y/N):		Lanes:	Lane (Y/N)	:	(Y/N):
Shoulder	☐ Paved ☑ Unpaved V		Width: Ft.		☐ Paved ☐ Unpaved		Width: Ft.		
Sidewalk/ path	Placement		Width: Ft.			Placement		Width: Ft.	
On road	o Bike Lanes		Other (Specify)			o Bike Lane	S	o 0	ther (Specify)
bicycle	o Sharrows					o Sharrows			
facilities	○ Wide Shoulders ○ None		one		o Wide Sho	ulders	o N	one	
Utilities	☐ Utility Work is needed ☐ Water/Sewer Work is needed			d		☐ Replaceme ☐ Relocation ☐ Sewer and,	of utilities		·k

Applicant Acknowledgements

By signing below, the project sponsor ensures that they have read and understood the appropriate federal guidance and agree to follow all applicable federal regulations and requirements from the acceptance of federal funds, should this project receive an award. In addition, the project sponsor acknowledges the potential loss of federal funds if the project is not obligated within the programmed fiscal year or if Michigan Department of Transportation statewide obligation limitations have been met.

Certification of Matching Funds

By signing below, the Project Sponsor assures that sufficient funds are available to pay any costs above the awarded federal fund amount and that completion of this project is not contingent upon additional grants (the sources of matching funds may be changed after STBG funding has been awarded, in accordance with all established TIP amendment guidelines).

Name:	Title:	

Niles-Buchanan-Cass Area Transportation Study 2026-2029 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Federal Surface Transportation Block Grant Project Application

If you need assistance, please contact Brandon Kovnat, SWMPC Transportation Planner Email kovnatb@swmpc.org or call (269) 925-1137 x 1524

Applicant Information	
Agency Name:	
Contact Name:	Title:
Email Address:	Phone Number:
Engineer/Consultant:	Company:
Email Address:	Phone Number:
Project Description	
Project Name/Road Name:	
Project Limits (From/To):	
Project Length (to the nearest hundredth of a mile): miles	
City, Village, or Township:	
Additional location description if needed	
Major Work Type:	Preferred Year of Funding:
Detailed Work Description (Include all work items as part of this replacement, guardrail, tree clearing, grading, culvert replacement	•
Describe any non-participating work if applicable	
What is the need and purpose for this project (what issues are be	eing addressed by the proposed work)
If you are submitting multiple applications, please rank your appl	lications by priority. Rank: of

Pro	pos	ed I	Bud	get
-----	-----	------	-----	-----

	Amount	Percent of Total
Total Participating Construction Estimate	\$	100 %
STBG Requested	\$	%
Local Match	\$	%
	\$	%
	\$	%

Are the other funding sources secured? Yes \square No \square If no, provide details of	n when these funds will be secured
Non-Participating Cost Estimate:	\$
Total Project Estimate with Non-Participating:	\$
Are you willing to contribute additional local match above the minimum 18.159	6 required: Yes \square No \square
Are you willing to use an Advance Construct (AC):	Yes □ No □
If so, what is the maximum Amount:	\$
Estimated Project Schedule	
Activity	Date (Month/Year)
NEPA/SHPPO Submitted	
Right-of-Way Certification Submitted	
Grade Inspection (GI) Completed	
Full Biddable Package Submitted to MDOT	
Project Letting	
Construction Start	
Project Completion	
System Preservation	
What is the most recent PASER rating (https://www.mcgi.state.mi.us/tamcMap	<u></u>
Do the project limits begin or end at a road with a PASER of 7 or higher:	Yes □ No □
Which MDOT guidelines will the project use:	
What is the expected increase in Remaining Service Life (RSL):	Years
What is the current state of drainage on the road:	

Regional Significance							
What is the average annual daily traffic (AADT) volume for the limits of this project?	Vehicles/day					
What is the National Functional Classification	(NFC) of the road:						
Safaty							
Safety							
For the questions below use the five-year total	als from 2019-2023 (https://www.michigantraf	ficcrashfacts.org/)					
All Crashes	Pedestrian and Bicycle Crash	<u>nes</u>					
Total number of crashes:	Total number of crashes:						
Number of fatalities:	Number of fatalities:						
Number of Serious Injuries:	Number of Serious Injuries:						
List the safety countermeasures included in th	ne project						
Use the attached list of countermeasures and	l associated crash types	In					
Counter Measure	Crash Type Addressed	Does this address a fatal or serious injury crash					
Improved pavement markings	Angle, Rear-End Crashes	Yes □ No ⊠					
		Yes □ No □					
		Yes □ No □					
		Yes □ No □					
		Yes □ No □					
		Yes □ No □					
		Yes □ No □					
Complete Streets							
complete streets							
Are there existing pedestrian and/or bicycle fa	acilities within the limits of the project? If so, p	lease explain					
Describe any improvements to pedestrian and	d/or bicycle facilities included with the project						
Will the new/improved pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities connect to existing pedestrian/bicycle facility $\gamma_{es} \square N_0 \square$ or one that is planned to be completed before 2029: Y/N							
or one that is plained to be completed before	. 2023. 1714						
Does your agency have a policy for maintainin bike lanes and pedestrian pathways/sidewalk	g non-motorized transportation infrastructure s?	e, such as Yes □ No □					

Accessibility and Equity				
Is the project located in a Disadvantaged Community (DAC), as identified by the Climate and Environmental Justice Screening Tool (https://screeningtool.geoplatform.gov/):			Yes □	No □
Does this project remove a priority ADA barrier, as identified in an adopted ADA Transition Plasimilar plan?	ın or		Yes 🗆	No □
Strategic Planning & Investment				
The project crosses jurisdictional boundaries.		,	Yes □	No 🗆
The project will coordinate with other infrastructure projects (i.e. utility, water, sewer, etc.)		,	Yes □	No 🗆
The Project is identified in a pavement asset management plan		,	Yes □	No 🗆
There is an asset management plan covering utilities along the length of the project			Yes □	No 🗆
The city/village/Township has adopted an asset management policy			Yes □	No 🗆
The project supports goals or objectives from another planning document (ex. master plan or	rec pla	n)	Yes □	No 🗆
If the project supports goals or objectives in another planning document please identify the pgoals or objectives, and describe how this project will help achieve them	lan, spe	ecify the	e releva	nt
Risk Assessment				
Does right of way need to be acquired?	Yes \square	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Does the project intersect with a railroad crossing?	Yes \square	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Does the project require utility relocation?	Yes □	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Are the project limits within a defined FEMA floodplain?	Yes □	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Will there be trees removed within the project limits?	Yes \square	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Is the project within 100 feet of a cemetery?	Yes □	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Are there historic elements withing 100 feet of the proposed work*	Yes □	No □	Unkno	wn 🗆
Describe approximately how many individual mature trees or acres of trees will be re-	noved	if appl	icable	

^{*} Historic elements include any of the following if they are 50 years old or older: **objects** (ex. Statues or monuments), **structures** (ex. bridges, stone curbs, or brick streets), intentional/designed landscapes, **buildings**, **Historic districts**, **intentional/designed landscapes**

Existing and Proposed Roadway Design

	Existing					Proposed				
Number of		Through	Center Tur	'n	On Street Parking		Through Center Turi		'n	On Street Parking
lanes		Lanes:	Lane (Y/N)	:	(Y/N):		Lanes:	Lane (Y/N)	:	(Y/N):
Shoulder	☐ Paved ☑ Unpaved V		Width: Ft.		☐ Paved ☐ Unpaved		Width: Ft.			
Sidewalk/ path	Placement Width: Ft.		n: Ft.		Placement		Width: Ft.			
On road	o Bike Lanes o Other (Specify)			o Bike Lanes o Other (ther (Specify)				
bicycle		o Sharrows			·		o Sharrows			
facilities	Wide Shoulders			o Wide Sho	ulders	o No	one			
			1				☐ Replaceme	nt of utilitie	es	
Utilities		☐ Utility Work is needed ☐ Water/Sewer Work is needed			☐ Relocation of utilities					
		⊔ water/sew	er work is	needed			☐ Sewer and/or water line work			k

Applicant Acknowledgements

By signing below, the project sponsor ensures that they have read and understood the appropriate federal guidance and agree to follow all applicable federal regulations and requirements from the acceptance of federal funds, should this project receive an award. In addition, the project sponsor acknowledges the potential loss of federal funds if the project is not obligated within the programmed fiscal year or if Michigan Department of Transportation statewide obligation limitations have been met.

Certification of Matching Funds

By signing below, the Project Sponsor assures that sufficient funds are available to pay any costs above the awarded federal fund amount and that completion of this project is not contingent upon additional grants (the sources of matching funds may be changed after STBG funding has been awarded, in accordance with all established TIP amendment guidelines).

Name:	Title:
Mairic.	