

## **Shoreham On-Road Project Segments: Classification Data**

											Seasonal Adjustment
Segment ID	StreetName	End Point #1	End Point #2	Municipality	Municipality #2	Legal System	Route Type	Trunkline	NHS	Function Class	Classification
14	Red Arrow Hwy	St Joseph border to the north	Lincoln Twp border to the south	Shoreham		1	3	I-94 BL	1	14	Urban
16	Brown School Rd	Red Arrow Hwy to the west	St Joseph Twp border to the east	Shoreham		4	9		0	19	Urban

Project segments flagged for detailed display at public input meetings

See attached sheet for field definitions

## **Shoreham On-Road Project Segments: Design Data**

					Rght Of Way	Surface			Number of	Through				
_:	Segment ID	StreetName	End Point #1	End Point #2	Width	Width	Left Shoulder	Right Shoulder	Lanes	Lanes	Sidewalks	Striping/Medians	Signs/Signals	Other Infrastructure
	14	Red Arrow Hwy	St Joseph border to the north	Lincoln Twp border to the south	0	0			2	2				
	16	Brown School Rd	Red Arrow Hwy to the west	St Joseph Twp border to the east	0	0			2	2				

Project segments flagged for detailed display at public input meetings

See attached sheet for field definitions

## **Shoreham On-Road Project Segments: Condition Data**

								Bike/Ped	Bike/Ped						
						PASER		Crashes -	Crashes -	Car Crashes -	Car Crashes -	ADT		Local Officia	l Survey - #
Segn	nent ID	StreetName	End Point #1	End Point #2	Surface Type	Score	PASER Year	Total	Fatal	Total	Fatal	Estimate	Posted Speed	Ranking	of mentions
	14	Red Arrow Hwy	St Joseph border to the north	Lincoln Twp border to the south	Composite	6	2010	1	0	112	0	11,000	45	3	33
	16	Brown School Rd	Red Arrow Hwy to the west	St Joseph Twp border to the east	Asphalt	5	2003	0	0	9	0	900	25	5	0

Project segments flagged for detailed display at public input meetings

See attached sheet for field definitions

# **On-Road Project Segment Field Definitions**

Legal System  Function Class	Indicates ownership of the road  1 – State Trunkline  2 – County Primary  3 – County Local  4 – City Major  5 – City Minor  9 – Not an Act-51 Certified Public Road  MDOT National Functional Classification (NFC) code  1 - Rural Interstate (principal arterial)	Route Type	Indicates status as a state route  0 - Interstate Highway  1 - US Highway  2 - State Highway  3 - Interstate Business Loop  4 - Interstate Business Spur  5 - US Highway Business Routes  6 - None  7 - State Business Route  8 - Connectors  9 - Unsigned Trunkline
	<ul> <li>2 - Rural Other Principal Arterial</li> <li>( non-freeway)</li> <li>5 - Rural Other Freeway (principal arterial)</li> <li>6 - Rural Minor Arterial</li> <li>7 - Rural Major Collector</li> <li>8 - Rural Minor Collector</li> <li>9 - Rural Local</li> <li>11 - Urban Interstate (principal arterial)</li> <li>12 - Urban Other Freeway (principal arterial)</li> </ul>	NHS  ADT Estimate	Classification in National Highway System  0 – Not an NHS road  1 – An NHS road  Estimate of average daily traffic on road segments. Estimates are drawn from a number of sources, some stretching back a number of years, and some in conflict with other sources. Figures displayed are rounded broadly.
Seasonal Adjustment	14 - Urban Other Principal Arterial (non-freeway) 16 - Urban Minor Arterial 17 - Urban Collector 19 - Urban Local 0 or uncoded - not a certified public road  List of road types as defined for traffic count	Local Official Ranking	Rough rankings of segment importance from 0 (least important) to 5 (most important). Rankings are derived from meetings with local officials. We realize that the discussions SWMPC staff have had with officials might not fully represent their final views on segment prioritization and that staff may have misinterpreted the expression of some priorities. We encourage further feedback from officials on the priority rankings that we have tentatively listed here.
Classification	conversions	Crash Totals	Crash totals for all bike/pedestrians, fatal bike/pedestrians, all cars, and fatal cars represent the total number of crashes between January 2000 and December 2009 placed within 5 meters of the road segment in question.

### Village of Shoreham TwinCATS Walk/Roll Meeting January 4, 2011

Attendees: Suzann Flowers, Trevor Thomas, Angie Larsen, Mark Seaman and Mike Johns

- Thomas passed out handouts and maps for the attendees and discussed what each of the items
  were.
- 2. Lakeshore Drive Off-Road Path-When the maps were discussed, the off-road facility that parallels Lakeshore Drive was thought to be a project that would not be well accepted and is to be taken off the map.
- 3. Lakeshore Drive extension of an on-road paved shoulder would be more widely accepted by the citizens.
- 4. Brown School Road-The engineering work has been done for this project which will include a 5-6 foot sidewalk on the south side of the road that will end at the Village limits. The Village representatives discussed connecting with St. Joseph Township representatives to see if this facility could be extended in their community.
- 5. There was discussion about the Howard path connecting to the Village from the City of St. Joseph but it was unclear if this was a past or proposed project.
- 6. Thomas discussed that amount of speed and foot traffic on Brown School Road and advised the representatives that there are other types of calming efforts that could be done on the roadway to make is a safer walking road.
- 7. The Village Hall is a bus stop for the high school and middle school and Thomas and Flowers both thought that some signage and/or stripes on the roadway would bring attention to this area of pedestrian traffic.
- 8. There was also discussion about Shoreham Drive and the possibility of making improvements on this road depending on what St. Joseph Township has planned for the portion of the roadway in their community.
- 9. A shared access drive through a cemetery was also discussed about a potential connection to the Hickory Creek proposed trail in St. Joseph Township.
- 10. The only projects that the community is focusing on is the Brown School Road, which is under way and the extension of Lakeshore Drive to include shoulder extension (which this is not under the control of the Village, it is an MDOT roadway).