South Haven Small Urban Meeting

Friday, October 17, 2025 at 10:30 AM City of South Haven Department of Public Works 1199 8th Ave, South Haven, MI 49090

Agenda

- 1. Call to Order and Introduction
- 2. Overview of the Small Urban Program and meeting purpose
- 3. Approval of Minutes from the 2023-2025 Proejct Selection Meeting (Action)
- 4. Review of the 2023 and 2025 Small Urban Projects
- 5. Discussion and Selection of Projects for 2027 and 2029 (Action)
- 6. Privilege of the floor or public comment
- 7. Adjournment

Comments can be sent prior to the meeting to Brandon Kovnat at kovnatb@swmpc.org or calling (269) 925-1137 x 1524. For questions about accessibility or to request accommodations, please contact Kim Gallagher at (269) 925-1137 x 1518 or by email at gallagherk@swmpc.org

South Haven Small Urban Area

2023-2026 project selection meeting minutes

DATE: Tuesday December 14, 2021 **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: TIME:** 1:00 PM **Brandon Kovnat,** *Transportation Planner*

PLACE: Hartford Public Library

Kim Gallagher, Transportation Planner
(269)-925-1137 Ext: 1524 & 1518

	Name	Representing
Attendance	Dan Bishop*	Van Buren Road commission
In Person:	Joel Hoort	Van Buren Road commission
	Mike Dopp*	City of South Haven
	Brandon Kovnat	Southwest Michigan Planning Commission
	Kim Gallagher	Southwest Michigan Planning Commission

^{*}Voting representatives

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 1:00 PM. Kim Gallagher took attendance. She explained that one in-person attendee from each eligible city, County Road Department, and transit agency was eligible to vote. At this meeting, the eligible agencies are the City of South Haven and the Van Buren County Road Commission.

2. Overview of Small Urban Program

The Small Urban Program is a program through MDOT that awards federal transportation funds to census-designated urban areas with a population of 5,000 to 49,999. MDOT awards funds to each urban area in the state in alternating years, with South Haven receiving funding in 2023 & 2025. This meeting's purpose is to review the 2023 project and select a project for 2025.

3. Approve Minutes of the February 2019 Small Urban Meeting

Motion to approve the minutes from the February 15, 2019, South Haven Small Urban Program meeting. Made by Dan Bishop, and seconded by Mike Dopp. **Motion approved**.

4. Review and Discuss Currently Funded Projects

Hoort said that the Road Commission hired a consultant to design the CR 689 project for FY 2023.

5. Review and Prioritize Projects for 2025

Four applications were submitted. There was a discussion that the Van Buren Road Commission has the project in 2023. It was agreed that the South Haven project should be the top priority for 2025. Van Buren said they submitted projects for less than the full \$385,000 because they want to be prepared if additional funds become available through the IIJA that are for less than a full year worth of funding.

The following priority list was presented:

Priority	Project Name	Agency	Federal Cost	Match	Total Cost
1	Huron Street	City of South Haven	\$385,000	\$235,000	\$620,000
2	Kalamazoo Street	Van Buren CRC	\$84,000	\$21,000	\$105,000
3	CR 380	Van Buren CRC	\$124,000	\$31,000	\$155,000
4	Ruggles Road	Van Buren CRC	\$156,000	\$39,000	\$195,000

Motion to approve the presented list of projects in priority order. Made by Dan Bishop, and seconded by Mike Dopp. **Motion approved**.

6. Public Comment

None.

7. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 1:15 PM.

Minutes taken by Brandon Kovnat, SWMPC Associate Planner

South Haven Small Urban Project Summary

2023 & 2025 Projects

Agency	Year	Project	Length (mi)	Work	Federal	Local Match	Total Programed
Van Buren CRC	2023	CR 689 from 6th Ave to Phoenix Rd	1	Restoration and Rehabilitation	\$375,000	\$264,465	\$639,465
City of South Haven	2025	Huron St from Kalamazoo St to Broadway St	0.25	Full reconstruction of the roadway with some sidewalk improvements	\$385,000	\$376,344	\$761,344

Requested Projects for 2027 – 2029

Agency	Year & Priority	Project	Length (mi)	Work	Federal	Local Match	Total Estimate
Allegan CRC	2029 - 1	North Shore Dr. & Blue Star 2029 - 1 Highway from Baseline Rd. to Point Betsie Dr.	3.47	Mill and resurface. Includes roundabout at Noth Shore Dr & Blue Star Hwy.	\$420,000	\$330,000	\$750,000
City of South Haven	2029- 1	Alyworth Ave. from 2029- 1 LeGrange St to S. Bailey Ave.	0.26	Full reconstruction with 3 in. base and 2in asphalt. Replace portions of storm sewer. ADA ramp upgrades. Non-participating watermain replacement.	\$420,000	\$826,982	\$1,246,982
City of South Haven	2029 - 2	Indiana St from Aylworth Ave. to Elkenburg St.	0.25	Mill asphalt to the concrete base and repave with 2 in. of asphalt. Replace select curb & gutter and fill in missing sections. Add sidewalk on the east side of the street.	\$420,000	\$201,481	\$621,481

If you require assistance accessing this information or require it in an alternative format, contact the Michigan Department of Transportation's (MDOT) Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) coordinator at www.Michigan.gov/MDOT-ADA.

Michigan Department of Transportation 2606 (06/2025)

MDOT SMALL URBAN PROGRAM ROAD PROJECT APPLICATION

Page 1 of 2

ALL FIELDS MUST BE COMPLET	ΓED				
JOB REQUEST					
	Job	Number		ge Type	
New Job or	inge		OF	Y Cost	Scope
				Delete O	Move Illustrative
REQUESTING AGENCY INFORM	IATION				
Agency Name		Contact Person		Telephone N	lumber
Allegan County Road Commission	1 0	Craig Atwood		(269) 673-21	84
Street Address		City/Zip Code		E-Mail Addre	ess
1308 Lincoln Road	A	Allegan, MI 49010		catwood@all	eganroads.org
PROJECT INFORMATION	·				
Small Urban Area	Small Urba	an Area Priority	Year	of Improvement	t Length (Miles)
South Haven		·	2029		3.47
Road Name		Work Type Code	l .		
North Shore Drive & Blue Star Hig	hway	408 - Milling & Asph	nalt Overla	ay (11/2 inches))
Project Limits/Location					
Baseline Road to Point Betsie Driv	/e				
Description					
Mill and resurface North Shore Dr North Shore Drive to Point Betsie Is The Road Segment/Project Fed	Drive includ	ing the roundabout at	: North Sh	ore Drive and E	
,	·		·		
Is Sidewalk Work to Be Included i	in the Partici	pating Costs?	Yes (No	•
Is Right-Of-Way/Land Acquisition If Yes, Please Explain:	Required?		Yes () No	•
Does The Road Have Truck Rest If Yes, Please Explain:			Yes (No	•
COST INFORMATION Include participating in construction studies, design, testing, preliminal					
STP-Urban Requested (Federal	Participating	g)			420,000.00
Local Match (Local Participating))				330,000.00
Non-Participating Costs					
Other (Describe:)		
PARTICIPATING CONSTRUCT	ION CAPITA	AL TOTAL			750,000.00

MDOT 2606 (06/2025) Page 2 of 2

Advance Construct (AC)	Year:	Amount:	
Advance Construct Conversion (ACC)	Year:	Amount:	
	s the local government h	as sufficient funds	the local match amounts
OTHER COMMENTS			
SUBMITTED BY	TITLE		DATE
Craig Atwood	Managing Director		10/03/2025

Small Urban Program Federal Surface Transportation Block Grant Project Application

If you need assistance, please contact Brandon Kovnat, SWMPC Transportation Planner Email kovnatb@swmpc.org or call (269) 925-1137 x 1524

Applicant Informa	tion				
Agency Name:	The	City of South Haven			
Contact Name:	Mich	ael Dopp	Title:	City Engineer	
Email Address:	mdo	pp@southhavenmi.gov	Phone Number:	(269) 637-0770	
Engineer/Consultant:	Engineer/Consultant:		Company:		
Email Address:			Phone Number:		
Project Description	n				
Project Name/Road Nar		Aylworth Avenue Reconstruc	ction Project		
Project Limits (From/To):	LeGrange Street to S. Bailey	Avenue		
Project Length (to the nearest hundredth of a mile): 0.26 miles					
City, Village, or Township:		South Haven			
Additional location des	criptic	n if needed			
Major Work Type: Re	const	ruction		Preferred Year of Funding: 2029	
•	-	nclude all work items as part of th learing, grading, culvert replacen			
	ect po	tions of the storm system. Place		clude 2 inch wearing coarse and 3 des of the road. ADA ramps to be	
Describe any non-partic	ipatin	g work if applicable			
Water main will be replaced	I the en	tire length of the project as a non-partic	pipating item. We have h	ad 6 water main breaks in the past 10 years.	
What is the need and p	urpose	e for this project (what issues are	being addressed by	the proposed work)	
		at runs from LeGrange St to S Bai		th MDOT roads. It has not been raffic coming into South Haven from	

NATS STBG Application for the 2026-2029 Call for Projects STBG

If you are submitting multiple applications, please rank your applications by priority.

M-43.

Rank: $\frac{1}{2}$ of $\frac{2}{2}$

Proposed Budget

	Amount	Percent of Total
Total Participating Construction Estimate	\$ 1,246,982.00	100 %
STBG Requested	\$ 420,000.00	33.68 %
Local Match	\$ 826,982.00	66.32 %
Enter additional fund source	\$	%
Enter additional fund source	\$	%

Are the other funding sources secured? Yes 🗵 No 🔲 If no, provide details on when these funds we have a secured of the secu	will be secured
Street fund and street millage	
Non-Participating Cost Estimate:	\$ 507,840
Total Project Estimate with Non-Participating:	\$_1,754,82
Are you willing to contribute additional local match above the minimum 18.15% required:	Yes ⊠ No 🗌
Are you willing to use an Advance Construct (AC):	Yes ☐ No 🗵
If so, what is the maximum Amount:	\$

Estimated Project Schedule

Activity	Date (Month/Year)
NEPA/SHPPO Submitted	06/2028
Right-of-Way Certification Submitted	11/2028
Grade Inspection (GI) Completed	01/2029
Full Biddable Package Submitted to MDOT	03/2029
Project Letting	05/2029
Construction Start	08/2029
Project Completion	11/2029

System Preservation	
What is the most recent PASER rating (https://www.mcgi.state.mi.us/tamcMap/):	4
Do the project limits begin or end at a road with a PASER of 7 or higher:	Yes ⊠ No □
Which MDOT guidelines will the project use:	4R
What is the expected increase in Remaining Service Life (RSL):	20 Years
Minor and falanchia making a	

What is the current state of drainage on the road: Minor and tolerable problems

Regional Significance			
What is the average annual dail	y traffic (AAD	T) volume for the limits of this project?	4957 Vehicles/day
What is the National Functiona	l Classificatior	n (NFC) of the road:	Minor Arterial
Safety			
For the questions below use the	e five-year tot	als from 2019-2023 (https://www.michigantra	afficcrashfacts.org/)
All Crashes		Pedestrian and Bicycle Cras	<u>shes</u>
Total number of crashes:	1	Total number of crashes:	0
Number of fatalities:	0	Number of fatalities:	0
Number of Serious Injuries:	0	Number of Serious Injuries:	0
List the safety countermeasure: Use the attached list of counte	s included in t rmeasures an	he project d associated crash types	
Counter Measure		Crash Type Addressed	Does this address a fatal or serious injury crash
Improved pavement marking	s		Yes □ No ⊠
			Yes No No
			·
Complete Streets			
Are there existing pedestrian ar	nd/or bicycle f	acilities within the limits of the project? If so,	please explain
There is sidewalk along th	e north side	e of the street, which is in good condition	on.
Describe any improvements to	pedestrian an	d/or bicycle facilities included with the projec	t
No improvements are plar improved in the past 5 years		existing sidewalk ramps at the intersec	tions have been
Will the new/improved pedestror one that is planned to be con		cycle facilities connect to existing pedestrian/bre 2029: Y/N	oicycle facility Yes ☐ No 🗵
Does your agency have a policy bike lanes and pedestrian path		ng non-motorized transportation infrastructurks?	re, such as Yes 🗵 No 🗌

Accessibility and Equity		
Is the project located in a Disadvantaged Community (DAC), as identified by the Climate and Environmental Justice Screening Tool (https://screeningtool.geoplatform.gov/):		Yes ⊠ No □
Does this project remove a priority ADA barrier, as identified in an adopted ADA Transition Plasimilar plan?	an or	Yes ☐ No 🗵
Strategic Planning & Investment		
The project crosses jurisdictional boundaries.		Yes ⊠ No 🗌
The project will coordinate with other infrastructure projects (i.e. utility, water, sewer, etc.)		Yes ⊠ No 🗌
The Project is identified in a pavement asset management plan		Yes ⊠ No 🗌
There is an asset management plan covering utilities along the length of the project		Yes ⊠ No 🗌
The city/village/Township has adopted an asset management policy		Yes ⊠ No □
The project supports goals or objectives from another planning document (ex. master plan or	rec plan)	Yes ⊠ No □
If the project supports goals or objectives in another planning document please identify the project or objectives, and describe how this project will help achieve them		
This project supports goals in our Capital Improvement Plan, which identifies properties for improving our streets, facilities, and assets.	oriorities and	I timelines
Risk Assessment		
Does right of way need to be acquired?	Yes ☐ No 🗵	Unknown 🗌
Does the project intersect with a railroad crossing?	Yes ☐ No 🗵	Unknown 🗌
Does the project require utility relocation?	Yes ☐ No 🗵	Unknown 🗌
Are the project limits within a defined FEMA floodplain?	Yes 🗵 No 🗌	Unknown 🗌
Will there be trees removed within the project limits?	Yes ☐ No 🗵	Unknown
Is the project within 100 feet of a cemetery?	Yes ☐ No 🗵	Unknown 🗌
Are there historic elements withing 100 feet of the proposed work*	Yes ☐ No 🗵	Unknown 🗌
Describe approximately how many individual mature trees or acres of trees will be re	moved if app	licable

^{*} Historic elements include any of the following if they are 50 years old or older: objects (ex. Statues or monuments), structures (ex. bridges, stone curbs, or brick streets), intentional/designed landscapes, buildings, Historic districts, intentional/designed landscapes

Existing and	d Proposed	Roadway	Desig	gn						
	Existing				11	Proposed				
Number of lanes			On Street Parking (Y/N): N		Through Lanes: 2	Center Tui				
Shoulder	☐ Paved 区	Unpaved	Widtl	n: Ft.		□ Paved □	Unpaved Width:		n: Ft.	
Sidewalk/ path	Placement One Side Width: 5		n: <u>5</u> Ft.		Placement None		Width: 5 Ft.			
On road bicycle	☐ Bike Lanes ☐ Other (: ☐ Sharrows		ther (Specify)		☐ Bike Lane ☐ Sharrows		Other (Specify)			
facilities	☐ Wide Sho	oulders	⊠ No	one		☐ Wide Sho	ulders	⊠ N	one	
Utilities	☐ Utility Work is needed ☑ Water/Sewer Work is needed					☐ Replacement of utilities ☐ Relocation of utilities ☐ Sewer and/or water line work				
By signing be guidance and federal funds potential loss	l agree to follo , should this p of federal fun	ct sponsor of wall applice roject receinds if the pr	cable fo ive an roject i	es that they have rederal regulations award. In additions s not obligated wi igation limitations	a n, ith	nd requireme the project sp nin the progra	ents from t consor ack immed fisc	he aco	ceptance of dges the	
Certificatio	n of Matchii	ng Funds								
awarded fede	eral fund amou	int and tha may be cha	t comp	es that sufficient fu pletion of this proj after STBG funding	je	ct is not conti	ngent upo	n add	itional grants (the	
Name: Micha	ael Dopp			_{Title:} City	/ E	Engineer				

Small Urban Program Federal Surface Transportation Block Grant Project Application

If you need assistance, please contact Brandon Kovnat, SWMPC Transportation Planner Email kovnatb@swmpc.org or call (269) 925-1137 x 1524

Applicant Informa	tion						
Agency Name:	The City of South Haven						
Contact Name:	Bella	Haberski	Title:	Civil Engineer			
Email Address:	bhab	erski@southhavenmi.gov	Phone Number:	(269) 512-2787			
Engineer/Consultant:			Company:				
Email Address:			Phone Number:				
Project Description	n						
Project Name/Road Nar	ne:	Indiana Street Resurfacing Proj	ect				
Project Limits (From/To)):	Aylworth Ave to Elkenburg St					
Project Length (to the n	earest	hundredth of a mile): $\frac{0.25}{1.00}$ miles					
City, Village, or Township: South Haven							
Additional location des	criptio	n if needed					
Major Work Type: Mil	ling &	Asphalt Overlay (1.5")	•	Preferred Year of Funding: 2029			
	-	clude all work items as part of this learing, grading, culvert replaceme		<u> </u>			
Remove and replace se concrete base. Place 2	lect cu	orb and gutter. Add new curb and gues of new asphalt from Aylworth Ave t	ıtter where missing o Elkenburg St. Pl	g. Cold mill asphalt layer down to			
Describe any non-partic	ipating	g work if applicable					
		re evaluating a redundant water ma	in that may be aba	andoned using separate funds.			
What is the need and p	urpose	for this project (what issues are be	ing addressed by	the proposed work)			

Indiana is a major collector that runs from the industrial area to downtown. It has not been updated as other similar streets such as Center St and Kalamazoo St have. This project also allows us to fill

NATS STBG Application for the 2026-2029 Call for Projects STBG

If you are submitting multiple applications, please rank your applications by priority.

gaps in sidewalks.

Rank: $\frac{2}{2}$ of $\frac{2}{2}$

Proposed Budget

	Amount	Percent of Total
Total Participating Construction Estimate	\$ 621,481.47	100 %
STBG Requested	\$ 420,000	67.58 %
Local Match	\$ 201,481	32.42 %
Enter additional fund source	\$	%
Enter additional fund source	\$	%

Are the other funding sources secured? Yes 🗵 No 🔲 If no, provide details on when these funds will be secured						
Street fund and street millage						
Non-Participating Cost Estimate:	\$ <u>0</u>					
Total Project Estimate with Non-Participating:	\$ <u>621,481.</u>					
Are you willing to contribute additional local match above the minimum 18.15% required:	Yes ⊠ No 🗌					
Are you willing to use an Advance Construct (AC):	Yes ☐ No 🗵					
If so, what is the maximum Amount:	\$					

Estimated Project Schedule

Activity	Date (Month/Year)
NEPA/SHPPO Submitted	01/2029
Right-of-Way Certification Submitted	04/2029
Grade Inspection (GI) Completed	03/2029
Full Biddable Package Submitted to MDOT	04/2029
Project Letting	07/2029
Construction Start	09/2029
Project Completion	11/2029

System Preservation

What is the most recent PASER rating	(<u>https://www.mcgi.state.mi.us/tamcMap/</u>):

Yes ⊠ No □

3R

Do the project limits begin or end at a road with a PASER of 7 or higher:

What is the expected increase in Remaining Service Life (RSL):

20 Years

What is the current state of drainage on the road:

Which MDOT guidelines will the project use:

Minor and tolerable problems

Regional Significance						
What is the average annual dai	ly traffic (AADT) volume for the limits of this project?	515 Vehicles/day			
What is the National Functional Classification (NFC) of the road: Major Collector						
Safety						
For the questions below use th	e five-year tota	als from 2019-2023 (https://www.michigantra	fficcrashfacts.org/)			
All Crashes		Pedestrian and Bicycle Cras	<u>hes</u>			
Total number of crashes:	0	Total number of crashes:	0			
Number of fatalities:	0	Number of fatalities:	0			
Number of Serious Injuries:	0	Number of Serious Injuries:	0			
List the safety countermeasure Use the attached list of counter	s included in the	ne project d associated crash types				
Counter Measure		Crash Type Addressed	Does this address a fatal or serious injury crash			
Improved pavement marking	S	Angle, Rear-End Crashes	Yes □ No ⊠			
			Yes No No			
			Yes No No			
			Yes No No			
			Yes No No			
			Yes No No			
			Yes No No			
Complete Streets						
Are there existing pedestrian a	nd/or bicvcle fa	acilities within the limits of the project? If so,	please explain			
There is sidewalk along m						
Describe any improvements to	pedestrian and	d/or bicycle facilities included with the project	<u>:</u>			
		of the street will be filled with new side eloper to install sidewalk with their pro				
Will the new/improved pedestror one that is planned to be co		ycle facilities connect to existing pedestrian/b e 2029: Y/N	icycle facility Yes 🛛 No 🗌			
Does your agency have a policy bike lanes and pedestrian path		ng non-motorized transportation infrastructur	e, such as Yes 🛛 No 🗌			

Accessibility and Equity		
Is the project located in a Disadvantaged Community (DAC), as identified by the Climate and Environmental Justice Screening Tool (https://screeningtool.geoplatform.gov/):		Yes ⊠ No □
Does this project remove a priority ADA barrier, as identified in an adopted ADA Transition Plasimilar plan?	an or	Yes ☐ No 🔀
Strategic Planning & Investment		
The project crosses jurisdictional boundaries.		Yes ☐ No 🗵
The project will coordinate with other infrastructure projects (i.e. utility, water, sewer, etc.)		Yes ☒ No ☐
The Project is identified in a pavement asset management plan		Yes ☐ No 🏻
There is an asset management plan covering utilities along the length of the project		Yes ☐ No 🏻
The city/village/Township has adopted an asset management policy		Yes ⊠ No □
The project supports goals or objectives from another planning document (ex. master plan or	r rec plan)	Yes ⊠ No □
If the project supports goals or objectives in another planning document please identify the pgoals or objectives, and describe how this project will help achieve them	olan, specify th	ne relevant
This project supports goals in our Capital Improvement Plan, which identifies properties for improving our streets, facilities, and assets.	oriorities and	d timelines
Risk Assessment		
Does right of way need to be acquired?	Yes 🗌 No 🗵] Unknown 🗌
Does the project intersect with a railroad crossing?	Yes ☐ No 🗵] Unknown 🗌
Does the project require utility relocation?	Yes 🗌 No 🗵] Unknown 🗌
Are the project limits within a defined FEMA floodplain?	Yes ☐ No 🗵] Unknown 🗌
Will there be trees removed within the project limits?	Yes 🗌 No 🗵] Unknown 🗌
Is the project within 100 feet of a cemetery?	Yes ☐ No 🗵] Unknown 🗌
Are there historic elements withing 100 feet of the proposed work*	Yes ☐ No 🗵] Unknown 🗌
Describe approximately how many individual mature trees or acres of trees will be re	moved if app	licable

^{*} Historic elements include any of the following if they are 50 years old or older: objects (ex. Statues or monuments), structures (ex. bridges, stone curbs, or brick streets), intentional/designed landscapes, buildings, Historic districts, intentional/designed landscapes

Existing and	d Proposed	Roadway	Desig	gn						
	Existing] [Proposed				
Number of lanes			On Street Parking (Y/N): Y_	=	Through Lanes: 2	Center Turn Lane (Y/N): N		On Street Parking (Y/N): Y		
Shoulder	□ Paved ⊠	Unpaved	Widt	n: Ft.		□ Paved □	Unpaved Width:		n: Ft.	
Sidewalk/ path	Placement Width: 5		n: <u>5</u> Ft.	<u>-</u>	Placement Inntermittent		Width: 5 Ft.			
On road bicycle	☐ Bike Lanes ☐ Other (S☐ Sharrows		ther (Specify)		☐ Bike Lane ☐ Sharrows		Other (Specify)			
facilities	☐ Wide Sho	oulders	X N	one	╛╽	☐ Wide Sho	oulders	⊠ N	one	
Utilities	Utility Work is needed Water/Sewer Work is needed				☐ Replacement of utilities ☐ Relocation of utilities ☐ Sewer and/or water line work					
By signing bel guidance and federal funds, potential loss	agree to follo , should this p of federal fun	ct sponsor of wall applice roject receinds if the pr	cable foi ive an oject i	es that they have rederal regulations award. In additions s not obligated wi	ain, t	nd requireme the project sp in the progra	ents from t consor ack immed fisc	he aco	ceptance of dges the	
Certification	n of Matchir	ng Funds								
awarded fede sources of ma	eral fund amou	int and tha may be cha	t com nged រ	es that sufficient fu pletion of this proj after STBG funding	jeo	ct is not conti	ingent upo	n addi	itional grants (the	
_{Name:} Bella	a Haberski			_{Title:} Civ	ʻil	Engineer				